
Inclusion of Older Adults in Cancer Clinical Trials Guidance for Industry

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit electronic comments to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Staff (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document, contact (CDER) Harpreet Singh at 240-402-3561 or (CBER) Office of Communication, Outreach and Development at 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Oncology Center of Excellence
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)**

**March 2020
Clinical/Medical**

Inclusion of Older Adults in Cancer Clinical Trials Guidance for Industry

Additional copies are available from:

*Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002*

*Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353; Email: druginfo@fda.hhs.gov
<https://www.fda.gov/drugs/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information/guidances-drugs>*

and/or

*Office of Communication, Outreach, and Development
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, rm. 3128
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002*

*Phone: 800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010; Email: ocod@fda.hhs.gov
<https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information-biologics/biologics-guidances>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Oncology Center of Excellence
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)**

**March 2020
Clinical/Medical**

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3
A.	Early Clinical Development	4
B.	Clinical Trials	4
C.	Postmarket.....	6

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

**Inclusion of Older Adults in Cancer Clinical Trials
Guidance for Industry¹**

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

I. INTRODUCTION

This guidance provides recommendations regarding the inclusion of older adult patients in clinical trials of drugs² for the treatment of cancer. For the purpose of this guidance, older adults are those aged 65 years and older. Specifically, this guidance includes recommendations for including an adequate representation of older adults in cancer clinical trials to better enable evaluation of the benefit-risk profile of cancer drugs in this population. The guidance emphasizes the particular importance of including adults over age 75 years in cancer clinical trials. This guidance is intended to assist stakeholders, including sponsors and institutional review boards, responsible for the development and oversight of clinical trials.

Enrolling an adequate representation of the range of patients in a clinical trial that may be exposed to a drug after approval can maximize the generalizability of the trial results. It provides the ability to understand the drug's benefit-risk profile across the patient population likely to use the drug in clinical practice (e.g., to identify whether there are differences in the benefits, risks, or both of the drug in different populations). Including information in the labeling describing use in older adults helps to promote the safe and effective use of these products and better informs treatment decisions in clinical practice.

In general, FDA's guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Oncology Center of Excellence, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), and Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) at the Food and Drug Administration.

² For the purposes of this guidance, references to drugs includes drugs approved under section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355) and biological products licensed under section 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

40 **II. BACKGROUND**

41
42 Adults aged 65 years and older, and especially those over age 75, are underrepresented in cancer
43 clinical trials despite representing a growing segment of the population of cancer patients.^{3,4}
44 Therefore, developing more information is important to better inform treatment decisions for
45 older adults with cancer. Cancer is a disease associated with age, with the number of cancer
46 cases projected to multiply due to rapid aging of the U.S. population.⁵ FDA is engaged with
47 stakeholders to improve the representation of older adults in cancer trials.

48
49 The issue persists in oncology despite FDA’s efforts to increase the inclusion of older adults in
50 clinical trials. FDA has encouraged the inclusion of older adults in clinical trials, including
51 through several guidance documents.⁶ In addition, FDA published a series of draft guidances
52 that would encourage sponsors to broaden cancer clinical trial eligibility criteria to maximize the
53 generalizability of trial results and the ability to understand the drug’s benefit-risk profile across
54 the patient population likely to use the drug in clinical practice. One draft guidance in the series,
55 *Cancer Clinical Trial Eligibility Criteria: Patients with Organ Dysfunction or Prior or*
56 *Concurrent Malignancies*,⁷ is particularly relevant to older adults. The draft guidance would
57 encourage the inclusion of patients with organ dysfunction and with prior or concurrent
58 malignancies, as appropriate, to better reflect the population that will use the drug in clinical
59 practice. The draft guidance includes specific draft recommendations regarding the inclusion of
60 patients with renal, cardiac, and hepatic dysfunction and of patients with prior or concurrent
61 malignancy, all of which may increase with age.

62
63 Differences may exist between younger and older patients in drug response and toxicity due to
64 age-related physiologic changes. For example, the pharmacokinetics of the drug, or the
65 pharmacodynamic response to the drug, or both may vary between younger and older patients.
66 In addition, older adults often have comorbidities and may be taking concomitant medications
67 that could impact the efficacy of either the cancer drug or other drug(s) they are taking, and may
68 also impact the incidence and the severity of adverse events. It is important that the spectrum of
69 older adults included in clinical trials are representative of the intended population, including
70 those with physiological decline (e.g., frailty). Furthermore, there may be important differences

³ Singh H, Kanapuru B, Smith C, et al., 2017, FDA Analysis of Enrollment of Older Adults in Clinical Trials for Cancer Drug Registration: A 10-Year Experience by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, JCO, 35:15 suppl, 10009-10009.

⁴ Smith BD, Smith GL, Hurria A, et al., 2009, Future of Cancer Incidence in the United States: Burdens Upon an Aging, Changing Nation, JCO, 27(17): 2758-65.

⁵ Levit L, Singh H, Klepin H, Hurria A, 2018, Expanding the Evidence Base in Geriatric Oncology: Action Items from an FDA-ASCO Workshop, JNCI, 110(11): djy169.

⁶ See the guidance for industry *Guideline for the Study of Drugs Likely to Be Used in the Elderly* (November 1989), guideline for industry *Studies in Support of Special Populations: Geriatrics* (ICH E7) (August 1994), guidance for industry *Content and Format for Geriatric Labeling* (October 2001), guidance for industry *E7 Studies in Support of Special Populations: Geriatrics Questions and Answers* (February 2012), and draft guidance for industry *Enhancing the Diversity of Clinical Trial Populations – Eligibility Criteria, Enrollment Practices, and Trial Designs* (June 2019). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>.

⁷ March 2019. When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

71 in efficacy in older patients compared to the younger or general population, and information
72 describing such differences should be conveyed to patients and healthcare providers where
73 appropriate.

74
75 Geriatric (i.e., older adult) use information must be included in labeling, unless clearly
76 inapplicable.⁸ FDA’s guidance for industry *Content and Format for Geriatric Labeling*
77 describes the content and format of geriatric use information in labeling for human prescription
78 drug and biological products to guide their safe and effective use in geriatric patients. In
79 addition, FDA’s Drug Trials Snapshots⁹ is an effort to make demographic data, including age,
80 more available and transparent by providing consumers with information about the demographic
81 profile of the clinical trial participants for new molecular entities and biologics approved in
82 2015 and later. Demographic information may also be available on FDA’s website within the
83 posted product approval information. In particular, Snapshots can highlight differences in
84 benefits and side effects among demographic groups, including, for example, differences based
85 on age when a clinical trial includes a representative population of older adults.

86
87

III. RECOMMENDATIONS

88
89

90 Clinical trials should include study populations reflecting the intended population that may
91 receive the intervention being evaluated if approved. In general, to achieve an unbiased estimate
92 of treatment effect in the general population, sponsors should develop a strategy to enroll diverse
93 populations, including different age groups, that are consistent with the intended use population.
94 For most cancers, clinical trials should include a representative population of older adults.¹⁰
95 Older adults, including those with frailty, should be enrolled in all phases of clinical trials, when
96 they can be safely and ethically enrolled.

97

98 Sponsors of cancer trials should consider the age demographics of their target population early in
99 development. CDER and CBER are available to discuss plans for enrollment of older adults in
100 cancer clinical trials, particularly when enrollment of adequate representation of older adults may
101 be challenging.

102

103 A strategy regarding inclusion of older adults should be informed by any known information for
104 older adults, including for example, prevalence of the condition, diagnosis and treatment
105 patterns, prior relevant studies, and differences in outcomes related to safety or efficacy. The
106 draft guidance for industry *Enhancing the Diversity of Clinical Trial Populations – Eligibility
107 Criteria, Enrollment Practices, and Trial Designs* includes draft recommendations for inclusive
108 trial practices, trial design and methodological approaches, and other study design and conduct
109 considerations for improving enrollment that sponsors should consider regarding older adults.

110

⁸ See 21 CFR sections 201.56(d)(4), 201.57, and 201.80.

⁹ Available at <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-approvals-and-databases/drug-trials-snapshots>

¹⁰ One source of data that may be considered when estimating the incidence of a cancer in older adults is the National Cancer Institute’s Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program, SEER Incidence database, available at <https://seer.cancer.gov/data/>.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

111 To understand potential age-related differences that may be relevant to the clinical development
112 of a cancer drug, FDA recommends the following:

113

A. Early Clinical Development

115

116 • Sponsors should enroll older adults, if appropriate, in early phase studies to obtain
117 information on safety, exposure, and response to better inform the study design
118 and dose selection of later phase studies.

119

120 • Sponsors should evaluate drug-drug interactions early in drug development to
121 allow enrollment of older adults who may otherwise be excluded because of their
122 concomitant medication use.

123

B. Clinical Trials

124

• *Trial design*

126

127
128 Sponsors should make every effort to enroll older adults in their pivotal
129 randomized trials. To encourage and facilitate the enrollment of older adults in
130 cancer trials, FDA is available to discuss flexible approaches to trial design and
131 analysis. For example, it may be acceptable to design a trial with stratification
132 based on age, so that analyses can focus on benefits and risks among older adults.
133 Alternatively, an open-label safety study can enroll and analyze an older adult
134 population separately in a parallel arm of a trial. In some cases, the older adult
135 arm(s) can be actively accruing at the time of NDA or BLA submission.

136

137 An example of a possible trial design approach is a randomized controlled trial
138 that enrolls younger and older adults and stratifies by age. The intent-to-treat
139 (ITT) population consists of all enrolled patients, a modified ITT (MITT) consists
140 only of the patients under 75 years of age. The trial would use hierarchical
141 testing, and the primary analysis would be conducted in the MITT population,
142 with subsequent analyses in the ITT population to provide safety and efficacy
143 information about all patients. If the size of the older patient population is
144 adequate and hypothesis driven, results in the older population can also be
145 analyzed separately.

146

147 Distinctive benefit-risk considerations should be considered during drug
148 development for older adults. We recommend that sponsors consider perspectives
149 of older adults, including those of patients and patient and caregiver partners,
150 clinicians, and advocacy groups, during the design of the clinical trial protocol to
151 ensure patient preferences are incorporated in clinical trial activities, when
152 possible, to facilitate enrollment of older adults as well as improve identification
153 of meaningful endpoints and overall trial design.¹¹

154

¹¹ See draft guidance for industry *Patient-Focused Drug Development: Collecting Comprehensive and Representative Input* (June 2018). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 155
- *Develop recruitment strategies targeted to older adults*
- 156

157 In general, most cancer trials do not have an upper age limit for exclusion,
158 however, older adults, particularly adults 75 years and older continue to be
159 underrepresented in these trials. FDA encourages sponsors and clinical trial
160 cooperative groups to develop strategies to recruit patients that are reflective of
161 the intended population. Possible challenges with recruiting older adults that
162 could be mitigated, particularly among patients over 75 years, include: location of
163 clinical trial sites (e.g., sites in community-based settings may be more accessible
164 to older adults than sites located in urban academic centers), format and content of
165 informational material for the trial, caregiver support, accommodations needed for
166 impairment (e.g., visual, mobility, cognitive, etc.), and travel and other logistics.

167
168 Sponsors should discuss specific goals for enrollment of older adults with clinical
169 investigators and keep the clinical trial sites updated on the progress of enrolling
170 older adults in the trial. Sponsors should discuss the importance of enrolling older
171 adults during study training provided to the clinical sites. In addition, sponsors
172 should consider recruitment of geriatric oncologists and oncologists with
173 expertise in treating older adults.

- *Consider collecting additional information for older adults*
- 174

175
176 Sponsors should prospectively consider information that should be collected for
177 older adults that will be clinically informative and will provide an understanding
178 of clinical outcomes in older adults. For example, in addition to collection of age
179 and performance status, elements from geriatric assessment tools, such as
180 functional status and cognitive function, or frailty measures and a comprehensive
181 assessment of comorbidities should be considered during trial design.¹²
182 Incorporating a patient reported outcome instrument(s) in cancer trials may
183 encourage older adults to participate in clinical trials and the information obtained
184 may inform future research.¹³

- *Consider additional strategies in adverse event monitoring and management*
- 185

186
187 Older adult patients' experience with adverse events may differ from younger
188 patients. Developing strategies to capture and manage adverse events in older
189 patients (e.g., supportive care measures, involvement of geriatric oncologists and
190 health care professionals with expertise in treating older adults) may facilitate
191 older patients completing the trial.

192
193
194
195

¹² Singh H, Beaver JA, Kim G, Pazdur R, 2016, Enrollment of Older Adults on Oncology Trials: An FDA Perspective, JGO, 8: 149-50.

¹³ See the guidance for industry *Patient-Reported Outcome Measures: Use in Medical Product Development to Support Labeling Claims* (December 2009).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 196
- 197
- *Develop and report more discrete age subgroups*

198 Because outcomes of cancer patients aged 65 and older may vary by age, sponsors
199 should identify subgroups within the population of patients aged 65 and older for
200 analysis, as relevant, to best understand the drug’s benefits and risks in older
201 adults. For example, subgroups such as age 65 years to 74 years and 75 years and
202 older may be relevant. A particular need exists for evidence in patients older than
203 75 years. Reporting clinical trial data from older adults in a more standardized
204 and granular way can be more clinically useful.¹⁴ FDA’s guidance for industry
205 *Integrated Summary of Effectiveness* (October 2015) includes recommendations
206 regarding subpopulation assessment and reporting in the NDA or BLA that are
207 applicable to subgroups of older adults in cancer trials (see section III.D of that
208 guidance).

209

C. Postmarket

210

- 211
- Ideally, adequate information on older adults should be captured in the premarket
212 clinical trials. However, if older adults are not adequately represented in pre-
213 market clinical trials, it may be appropriate to develop a plan to collect data on
214 older adults in the postmarket setting. This could be accomplished with post-
215 marketing trials examining a broader population, or through collection of real
216 world data in an observational study or registry. In certain situations, FDA may
217 require postmarket studies and clinical trials.¹⁵ Sponsors should prospectively
218 discuss their plan for collecting additional information in the postmarket setting
219 with the CDER or CBER review division or office. Postmarket data may provide
220 clinically useful information, that when appropriate, can be added to the geriatric
221 use section of the labeling.
- 222

¹⁴ See footnote 12.

¹⁵ See the draft guidance for industry *Postmarketing Studies and Clinical Trials- Implementation of Section 505(o)(3) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act* (October 2019). When final, this guidance will represent the FDA’s current thinking on this topic.