

2013 OCT -7 P 2: 02

US DISTRICT COURT HARTFORD CT

13CV1470JBA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

HEIDI LANGAN, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER COMPANIES, INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, by her attorneys, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of her counsel and based on information and belief, except as to allegations pertaining to personal knowledge as to herself. Plaintiff believes that substantial additional evidentiary support exists for the allegations set forth herein and will be available after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is a class action against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Johnson & Johnson") concerning its Aveeno[®] Brand Natural Protection Sunscreen Products (the "Products"): Aveeno[®] Baby Brand Natural Protection Lotion Sunscreen with Broad Spectrum SPF 30 and SPF 50; and Aveeno[®] Brand Natural Protection Lotion Sunscreen with Broad Spectrum SPF 30 and SPF 50 (together, the "Lotion Sunscreen Products"); and Aveeno[®] Baby Brand Natural Protection Face Stick with Broad Spectrum SPF 50 and SPF 50+ (the "Bar Sunscreen Products").
- 2. This action seeks to remedy the unfair and deceptive business practices arising from the marketing and sale of the Products as "Natural." The Products' Principal Display Panels ("PDPs") state that the Products contain "100% naturally-sourced sunscreen ingredients" that provide "natural protection." These statements are false and misleading to a reasonable consumer because, as set forth more fully herein, the Products contain synthetic ingredients.
- 3. Plaintiff and the Classes and Subclass defined below paid a premium for the Products over comparable sunscreen products that did not purport to be natural. In direct contradiction to Defendant's representations, instead of receiving a natural product, they received Products that contained unnatural, synthetic ingredients.

The Merriam-Webster Dictionary defines "natural" as "existing in or produced by nature: not artificial." See www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary. The FDA has not defined the term "natural" in the context of cosmetics. To the contrary, on March 7, 2013, the FDA affirmed that "proceedings to define the term 'natural' do not fit within [its] current health and safety priorities." See the letter dated March 7, 2013 from the FDA to Plaintiff-Appellant's counsel in Astiana v. The Hain Celestial Group, Inc., Appellate No. 12-cv-17596 (9th Cir.), filed in support of Appellant's Motion for Judicial Notice [ECF No. 8-3] and publicly available on the Ninth Circuit's PACER website.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has original jurisdiction over the claims asserted herein individually and on behalf of the Class pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332, as amended in 2005 by the Class Action Fairness Act. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper because: (1) the amount in controversy in this class action exceeds five million dollars, exclusive of interest and costs; and (2) a substantial number of the members of the proposed class are citizens of a state different from that of Defendant.
- 5. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff Heidi Langan is a resident of Trumbull, Connecticut and an individual consumer. Plaintiff purchased two containers of Aveeno® Baby Brand Natural Protection Sunscreen Lotion with Broad Spectrum SPF 30 at Stop and Shop at 40 Quality Street, Trumbull, Connecticut 06611 and/or Toys "R" Us at 330 Old Gate Lane, Milford, Connecticut 06460 in 2012 for her five-year old son. Langan reviewed the product label set forth in Paragraph 10 before her purchase, relied on the phrases "natural protection" and "100% naturally-sourced sunscreen ingredients" and paid a premium for the Products over sunscreen products that do not purport to be natural.
- Defendant Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, with its headquarters and principal place of business at Grandview Road, Skillman, New Jersey, 08558.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

8. Consumers have become increasingly concerned about the effects of synthetic and chemical ingredients in food, cleaning, bath and beauty and everyday household products.

Companies such as Johnson & Johnson have capitalized on consumer appetite for "natural products." Indeed, consumers are willing to pay, and have paid, a premium for products branded "natural" over ordinary products that contain synthetic ingredients. In 2010, for example, nationwide sales of natural products totaled \$117 billion.²

9. Aveeno is a brand of body care, facial care, hair care, baby care and sun care products manufactured and marketed by Johnson & Johnson and sold in drugstores, grocery stores and discount stores nationwide. Johnson & Johnson manufactures and distributes approximately nine Aveeno® Brand sunscreen products, three of which comprise the Aveeno Natural Protection Collection Sunscreen Products. The Aveeno website states,

The AVEENO® brand offers a wide range of sun care products with superior broad spectrum UVA/UVB protection and exclusive ACTIVE NATURALS® ingredients for naturally healthy and beautiful-looking skin.³

The Aveeno® Natural Protection Collection website page further touts,

This extra-gentle sunscreen, specifically designed for sensitive skin, combine [sic] skin-soothing ACTIVE NATURALS® Oat and MINERALGUARD™ Technology. This technology features 100% naturally-sourced sunscreen ingredients, providing a more natural alternative, to ensure sensitive skin is protected and not irritated.

10. Defendant falsely represents that the Products contain 100% naturally-sourced ingredients that provide natural protection. Upon information and belief, in December 2012 or January 2013, Aveeno changed the formulation of the Lotion Sunscreen Products to SPF 50 from SPF 30 and changed the look of the packaging of all the Products. The Products' ingredients remain the same. Moreover, the same misleading language remains prominently placed on the PDP of each Product:

²http://www.npainfo.org/NPA/About_NPA/NPA/AboutNPA/AbouttheNaturalProductsAssociation.aspx?hkey=8d3a 15ab-f44f-4473-aa6e-ba27ccebcbb8

³ http://www.aveeno.com/category/our+products/sun-protection.do

a. Former product packaging:







b. Current product packaging:







- 11. The phrases "100% naturally-sourced sunscreen ingredients" and "natural protection" constitute representations to a reasonable consumer that the Sunscreen Products contain only natural ingredients. The phrases "100% naturally-sourced sunscreen ingredients" and "natural protection" are misleading to a reasonable consumer because the Sunscreen Products actually contain numerous unnatural, synthetic ingredients.
- 12. Certain of the Products also contain unnatural, synthetic ingredients that have a high risk of contamination by 1,4 dioxane, a chemical that is "likely to be carcinogenic to humans."
- 13. Defendant's false and misleading representations are particularly egregious because most of the Products are marketed for the care of babies.
- 14. The Products' individual product descriptions additionally advertise the "natural" nature of its products, writing,

AVEENO [Product Name] contains MINERALGUARDTM Technology with 100% naturally sourced sunscreen ingredients, a more natural alternative.

15. The product descriptions for the Products marketed for babies state, "[i]t ensures your baby's skin is protected and not irritated."

THE UNNATURAL INGREDIENTS

- 16. Directly contrary to Defendant's misrepresentations, the Products contain the following unnatural, synthetic ingredients:
 - a. The Lotion Sunscreen Products
 - i. Acrylates/Dimethicone Copolymer a synthetic anticaking and film forming agent.⁵
 - ii. Arachidyl Glucoside a synthetic surfactant.6

⁴ http://www.epa.gov/IRIS/subst/0326.htm

http://www.epa.gov/ikts/subs/0526.htm

http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/700147/ACRYLATES%3B%3B_DIMETHICONE_COPOLYMER/

- iii. Behenyl Alcohol also known as docosanol, is used as an emoillient, emulsifier and thickener in cosmetics.⁷
- iv. Butylene Glycol a synthetic humectant (a substance that retains moisture).8
- v. C12-15 Alkyl Benzoate a synthetic skin conditioning agent made from benzoic acid and long-chain alcohols.
- vi. Cetyl Dimethicone a synthetic silicone-based polymer. 10
- vii. Chlorphenesin a synthetic preservative. 11
- viii. **Dimethicone** a synthetic silicon-based polymer used as a lubricant and conditioning agent. ¹²
- ix. **Dimethylimidazolidinone Rice Starch** a synthetic ingredient used for viscosity control made by reacting 1,3-dimethyl-4, 5-dihydroxy-2-imidazolidinone with rice starch. ¹³
- x. **Dipropylene Glycol Dibenzoate** a synthetic chemical compound used as an emollient and skin conditioning agent. ¹⁴
- xi. Ethylhexylglycerin a synthetic skin conditioning agent and weak preservative. 15
- xii. Hydroxyethyl Acrylate/Sodium Acryloyldimethyl Taurate Copolymer a synthetic emulsion stabilizer. 16
- xiii. Hydroxyphenyl Propamidobenzoic Acid a synthetic oat ingredient used to boost the efficacy of natural oats. 17
- xiv. **PEG-100 Stearate** a synthetic surfactant with contamination hazards from carcinogens ethylene oxide and 1,4 dioxane. ¹⁸

⁶ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/700479/ARACHIDYL GLUCOSIDE/

http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/700659/BEHENYL ALCOHOL/

⁸ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/700861/BUTYLENE GLYCOL/

⁹ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/700898/C12-15 ALKYL BENZOATE/

¹⁰ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/701266/CETYL DIMETHICONE/

¹¹ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/701327/CHLORPHENESIN/

¹² http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/702011/DIMETHICONE/

¹³ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/702073/DIMETHYLIMIDAZOLIDINONE RICE STARCH/

¹⁴ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/702124/DIPROPYLENE GLYCOL DIBENZOATE/

http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/702352/ETHYLHEXYLGLYCERIN/

¹⁶ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient.php?ingred06=703053

¹⁷ http://www.dermalinstitute.com/us/library/glossary.html?l=H

¹⁸ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/721388/PEG-100 STEARATE/

- xv. **PEG-8** a synthetic humectant and solvent with contamination hazards from carcinogens ethylene oxide and 1,4 dioxane. ¹⁹
- xvi. Pentylene Glycol a synthetic solvent and skin conditioning agent. 20
- xvii. Polyaminopropyl Biguanide a synthetic preservative. 21
- xviii. Polyhydroxystearic Acid a synthetic suspending agent. 22
 - xix. **Polysorbate** 60 a synthetic surfactant and emulsifier with contamination hazards from carcinogens ethylene oxide and 1,4-dioxane.²³
 - xx. **PPG-15 Stearyl Ether Benzoate** a synthetic skin conditioning agent and emollient.²⁴
 - xxi. Styrene/Acrylates Copolymer a synthetic film forming agent. 25
- xxii. Triethoxycaprylylsilane a synthetic silicone-based binder. 26
- xxiii. Trisiloxane a synthetic antifoaming agent. 27

b. The Bar Sunscreen Products

- xxiv. BHT a synthetic antioxidant preservative that the European Food Safety Authority has classified as a known immune toxicant or allergen with evidence of carcinogenicity.²⁸
- xxv. C12-15 Alkyl Benzoate see above.
- xxvi. Dimethicone see above.
- xxvii. Dipropylene Glycol Dibenzoate see above.
- xxviii. Ethylhexlglycerin see above.
- xxix. Octyldodecyl Neopentanoate a synthetic skin conditioning agent. 29

¹⁹ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/704655/PEG-8/

²⁰ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/704753/PENTYLENE GLYCOL/

²¹ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient.php?ingred06=704962

http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient.php?ingred06=705059

²³ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/705139/POLYSORBATE-60/

²⁴ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/705249/PPG-15 STEARYL ETHER BENZOATE/

²⁵ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/706353/STYRENE%3B%3B ACRYLATES COPOLYMER/

²⁶ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/706643/TRIETHOXYCAPRYLYLSILANE/

²⁷ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/706714/TRISILOXANE/

²⁸ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/700741/BHT/

xxx. Phenyl Trimethicone – a synthetic silicone-based polymer. 30

xxxi. **Polyethylene** – a synthetic polymer used as a film-former and viscosity controller.³¹

xxxii. Polyhydroxystearic Acid - see above.

xxxiii. PPG-15 Stearyl Ether Benzoate - see above.

xxxiv. Triethoxycaprylylsilane - see above.

17. As set forth herein, Plaintiff and the members of the Classes and Subclass described below suffered an ascertainable loss in at least the following amounts, in that they paid a premium for the Products over comparable products³² that are not marketed as natural:

Aveeno "Natural"	Price:	Price Per Ounce: \$3.66-\$4.00 Price Per Ounce: \$2.62	
Product: Lotion Sunscreen Products	\$10.99-\$11.99/3 fl oz ³³		
Comparable product:	Price:		
Aveeno Continuous Protection Sunblock SPF 55	\$10.49/4 fl oz ³⁴		
Premium paid per ounce:		\$1.04- \$1.38	
Premium paid per 3 fl oz product:		\$3.12- \$4.14	

²⁹ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/704237/OCTYLDODECYL_NEOPENTANOATE/

³⁰ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient.php?ingred06=704817

³¹ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/704981/POLYETHYLENE/

³² The comparable products are sold in many of the same stores and are used for the same purpose as the Aveeno products. Additionally, Aveeno Continuous Protection Sunblock contains many of the same ingredients as the Lotion Sunscreen Products, including behenyl alcohol, dimethicone and PEG 100 stearate. Banana Boat Baby Sunscreen Stick contains many of the same ingredients as the Bar Sunscreen Products, including BHT and dimethicone.

http://www.drugstore.com/aveeno-active-naturals-baby-natural-protection-spf-50-lotion/qxp461258?catid=184131; http://www.drugstore.com/aveeno-active-naturals-natural-protection-spf-50-lotion/qxp461262?catid=184131.

³⁴ http://www.drugstore.com/aveeno-sunblock-lotion-continuous-protection-spf-55/qxp163896?catid=184131

Aveeno "Natural" Product:	Price:	Price Per Ounce:	
Bar Sunscreen Products	\$9.99/.5 fl oz ³⁵	\$19.98	
Comparable product:	Price:	Price Per Ounce:	
Coppertone Water Babies Sunscreen Stick SPF 55	\$4.99/.6 fl oz ³⁶	\$8.31	
Premium paid per ounce:	\$11.67		
Premium paid per .5 fl oz product:		\$5.83	

- 18. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff and the Classes and Subclass have been damaged.
- 19. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 23 on behalf of the following classes and subclass (collectively, the "Classes") as follows:
 - a. All purchasers of the Products in the State of Connecticut who purchased the Products primarily for personal, family or household purposes. Specifically excluded from this Class are Defendant; the officers, directors or employees of Defendant; any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest; any affiliate, legal representative, heir or assign of Defendant; the judge to whom this case is assigned and any member of the judge's immediate family (the "Connecticut Subclass"); and
 - b. All purchasers of the Products in the States of Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin and the District of Columbia who purchased the Products primarily for personal, family or household purposes. Specifically excluded from this Class are Defendant; the officers, directors or employees of Defendant; any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest; any affiliate, legal representative, heir or assign of Defendant; the judge to whom this case is assigned and any member of the judge's immediate family (the "Count III class"); in the

36 http://www.drugstore.com/coppertone-water-babies-sunscreen-stick-spf-55/qxp249022?catid=184131

³⁵ http://www.drugstore.com/aveeno-baby-natural-protection-mineral-block-face-stick-spf-50/gxp328495?catid=184131

alternative,

- c. All purchasers of the Products in the States of Alaska, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Illinois, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin and the District of Columbia who purchased the Products primarily for personal, family or household purposes. Specifically excluded from this Class are Defendant; the officers, directors or employees of Defendant; any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest; any affiliate, legal representative, heir or assign of Defendant; the judge to whom this case is assigned and any member of the judge's immediate family (the "Count II Class").
- 20. The members of the Classes and Subclass are so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical, as the products are sold in thousands of stores nationwide, including Walmart, Target, CVS and Walgreens. Upon information and belief, the Classes and Subclass each include thousands of persons who have purchased the Products.
- 21. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Classes and Subclass because Plaintiff's claims, and the claims of all Class members, arise out of the same conduct, policies and practices of Defendant as alleged herein, and all members of the Classes and Subclass are similarly affected by Defendant's wrongful conduct.
- 22. There are questions of law and fact common to the Classes and Subclass and these questions predominate over questions affecting only individual Class members. Common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to:
 - a. whether Defendant advertises or markets the Products in a way that is unfair,
 deceptive, false or misleading to a reasonable consumer;
 - whether, by the misconduct set forth in this Complaint, Defendant has engaged in unfair, deceptive, or unlawful business practices with respect to the Products; and

- c. whether, as a result of Defendant's misconduct as alleged herein, Plaintiff, the Classes and the Subclass suffered an ascertainable loss.
- 23. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the Classes and the Subclass and has retained counsel experienced and competent in the prosecution of consumer and class action litigation. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to those of other members of the Classes or Subclass. Plaintiff is committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action and anticipates no difficulty in the management of this litigation as a class action.
- 24. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy within the meaning of Rule 23(b) and in consideration of the matters set forth in Rule 23(b)(3)(A)-(D). Because of the amount of the individual Class members' claims relative to the complexity of the litigation and the financial resources of the Defendant, few, if any, members of the Classes or Subclass would seek legal redress individually for the wrongs complained of here. The maintenance of separate actions would place a substantial and unnecessary burden on the courts and could result in inconsistent adjudications, while a single class action can determine, with judicial economy, the rights of all Class members. Absent a class action, Class members will continue to suffer damages and Defendant's misconduct will proceed without remedy.

COUNT I

(Violation of the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42-110a, et seq. ("CUTPA") Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of the Connecticut Subclass)

- 25. Plaintiff restates all prior allegations as though fully pled herein.
- 26. Plaintiff brings this count individually and as a class action pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 23 on behalf of herself and the Connecticut Subclass.
 - 27. Plaintiff is a "person" within the meaning of Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42-110a.

- 28. Defendant is engaged in "trade" and "commerce" within the meaning of Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42-110a as it distributes the Products to retail stores for sale to consumers within this State.
- Defendant's representation was material to a reasonable consumer and likely to affect consumer decisions and conduct.
- 30. Defendant has used and employed unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of trade or commerce.
- 31. Defendant's acts and practices offend public policy as established by statute. Defendant's acts and practices violate the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, which provides that a cosmetic shall be deemed misbranded "[i]f its labeling is false or misleading in any particular." 21 U.S.C.A. § 362.
- 32. Defendant's acts and practices are immoral, unethical, oppressive and unscrupulous.
- 33. Defendant's conduct is substantially injurious to consumers. Such conduct has, and continues to cause, substantial injury to consumers because consumers would not have paid such a high price for the Products but for Defendant's false promotion that the Products are "natural." Consumers have thus overpaid for the Products and such injury is not outweighed by any countervailing benefits to consumers or competition.
- 34. No benefit to consumers or competition results from Defendant's conduct. Since consumers reasonably rely on Defendant's representations of the products and injury results from ordinary use of the Products, consumers could not have reasonably avoided such injury.
- 35. The foregoing unfair and deceptive practices directly, foreseeably and proximately caused Plaintiff and the Connecticut Subclass to suffer an ascertainable loss when

they paid a premium for the Products over comparable products that are not marketed as consisting of natural ingredients.

36. Plaintiff and the Connecticut Subclass are entitled to recover damages and other appropriate relief, as alleged below.

COUNT II

(Violations of State Consumer Protection Laws) (Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of the Count II Class)

- 37. Plaintiff restates the allegations in foregoing paragraphs as though fully pled herein.
- 38. Plaintiff asserts this cause of action on behalf of the Count II Class under the state laws listed in Paragraph 39 below.
- 39. The practices discussed above all constitute unfair competition or unfair, unconscionable, deceptive, or unlawful acts or business practices in violation of the following state consumer protection statutes:³⁷
 - a. Alaska Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Act, Alaska Stat. § 45.50.471, et seq.;
 - b. Arkansas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 4-88-101, et seq.;
 - c. California Consumer Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq., California Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.;
 - d. Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42-110a, et seq.;
 - e. Delaware Consumer Fraud Act, Del. Code Ann. tit. 6, §2511, et seq.;
 - f. District of Columbia Consumer Protection Procedures Act, D.C. Code § 28-3901, et seq.;
 - g. Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Fla. Stat. § 501.201, et seq.;
 - h. Hawaii Unfair and Deceptive Practices Act, Hawaii Rev. Stat. § 480-1, et seq.;

³⁷ There is no material conflict between these state statutes and CUTPA because these state statutes (1) do not require reliance by unnamed class members; (2) do not require scienter; and (3) allow class actions.

- Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act, 815 Ill. Comp. Stat. § 505/1, et seq.;
- j. Kentucky Consumer Protection Act, Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 367.110 et seq.;
- k. Maine Unfair Trade Practices Act, Me. Rev. Stat., tit. 5, § 205-A, et seq.;
- Maryland Consumer Protection Act, Md. Code Ann., Com. Law § 13-101, et seq.;
- m. Massachusetts Regulation of Business Practices for Consumers' Protection Act, Mass. Gen. Laws Ann. ch. 93A, § 1 et seq.;
- n. Michigan Consumer Protection Act, Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.901 et seq.;
- o. Missouri Merchandising Practices Act, Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.010, et seq.;
- p. Nebraska Consumer Protection Act, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 59-1601 et seq.;
- q. New Hampshire Consumer Protection Act, N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 358-A:1. et seq.;
- r. New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-1, et seq.;
- s. New York Deceptive Acts and Practices Act, N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349, et seq.;
- t. North Carolina Unfair Trade Practice Act, N.C. Gen. Stat. § 75-1.1, et seq.;
- u. Ohio Consumer Sales Practice Act, Ohio Rev. Code Ann. §1345.01, et seq.;
- v. Rhode Island Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Act, R.I. Gen. Laws § 6-13.1-1, et seq.;
- w. Vermont Consumer Fraud Act, Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 9, § 2451, et seq.;
- x. Washington Consumer Protection Act, Wash. Rev. Code § 19.86.010, et seq.;
- y. West Virginia Consumer Credit and Protection Act, W. Va. Code Ann. § 46A-6-101, et seq.; and
- z. Wisconsin Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Wis. Stat. § 100.18, et seq.;

- 40. The foregoing unfair and deceptive practices directly, foreseeably and proximately caused Plaintiff and the Count II Class to suffer an ascertainable loss when they paid a premium for the Products over comparable products that are not marketed as consisting of natural ingredients.
- 41. Plaintiff and the Count II Class are entitled to recover damages and other appropriate relief, as alleged below.

COUNT III

(Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws) (Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of the Count III Class)

- 42. Plaintiff restates the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs as though fully pled herein.
- 43. Plaintiff asserts this cause of action on behalf of the Count III Class under the state laws listed in Paragraph 44 below.
- 44. The practices discussed above all constitute unfair competition or unfair, unconscionable, deceptive, or unlawful acts or business practices in violation of the following state consumer protection statutes:³⁸
 - a. Alabama Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Ala. Code § 8-19-1, et seq.;
 - b. Alaska Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Act, Alaska Stat. § 45.50.471, et seq.;
 - c. Arkansas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 4-88-101, et seq.;
 - d. California Consumer Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq., California Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.;
 - e. Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42-110a, et seq.;

³⁸ These state statutes do not materially conflict with CUTPA. The statutes include those statutes listed in the Second Cause of Action as well as additional states whose statutes, like CUTPA, require neither reliance by unnamed class members nor scienter, but do not permit class actions. Under *Shady Grove Orthopedic Assoc's v. Allstate Ins. Co.* 130 S.Ct. 1431 (2010), class actions may be brought under these state statutes in federal court under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 23.

- f. Delaware Consumer Fraud Act, Del. Code Ann. tit. 6, § 2511, et seq.;
- g. District of Columbia Consumer Protection Procedures Act, D.C. Code § 28-3901, et seq.;
- h. Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Fla. Stat. § 501.201, et seq.;
- i. Hawaii Unfair and Deceptive Practices Act, Hawaii Rev. Stat. § 480-1, et seq.;
- j. Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act, 815 Ill. Comp. Stat. § 505/1, et seq.;
- k. Kentucky Consumer Protection Act, Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 367.110, et seq.;
- Louisiana Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law, La. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 51:1401, et seq.;
- m. Maine Unfair Trade Practices Act, Me. Rev. Stat. tit. 5, § 205-A, et seq.;
- n. Maryland Consumer Protection Act, Md. Code Ann., Com. Law § 13-101, et seq.;
- o. Massachusetts Regulation of Business Practices for Consumers' Protection Act, Mass. Gen. Laws Ann. ch. 93A, § 1 et seq.;
- p. Michigan Consumer Protection Act, Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.901, et seq.;
- q. Missouri Merchandising Practices Act, Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.010, et seq.;
- r. Montana Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Act, Mont. Code Ann. § 30-14-101, et seq.;
- s. Nebraska Consumer Protection Act, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 59-1601, et seq.;
- t. New Hampshire Consumer Protection Act, N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 358-A:1, et seq.;
- u. New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-1, et seq.;
- v. New York Deceptive Acts and Practices Act, N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349, et seq.;
- w. North Carolina Unfair Trade Practice Act, N.C. Gen. Stat. § 75-1.1, et seq.;
- x. Ohio Consumer Sales Practice Act, Ohio Rev. Code Ann. §1345.01, et seq.;

- y. Rhode Island Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Act, R.I. Gen. Laws § 6-13.1-1, et seq.;
- z. South Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act, S.C. Code Ann. § 39-5-10, et seq.;
- aa. Tennessee Consumer Protection Act of 1977, Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-18-101, et seq.;
- bb. Vermont Consumer Fraud Act, Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 9, § 2451, et seq.;
- cc. Washington Consumer Protection Act, Wash. Rev. Code § 19.86.010, et seq.;
- dd. West Virginia Consumer Credit and Protection Act, W. Va. Code Ann. § 46A-6-101, et seq.; and
- ee. Wisconsin Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Wis. Stat. § 100.18, et seq.
- 45. The foregoing unfair and deceptive practices directly, foreseeably and proximately caused Plaintiff and the Count III Class to suffer an ascertainable loss when they paid a premium for the Products over comparable products that are not marketed as consisting of natural ingredients.
- 46. Plaintiff and the Count III Class are entitled to recover damages and other appropriate relief, as alleged below.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, prays for judgment against Defendant Johnson & Johnson as follows:

- (a) For an Order certifying the Classes and Subclass described herein and appointing
 Plaintiff as Class Representative and their attorneys as Class Counsel;
- (b) for an Order declaring that Defendant's conduct violates the statutes referenced herein;
- for compensatory damages in favor of Plaintiff and the other members of the
 Classes and Subclass and against Defendant;

- (d) for punitive damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, filing fees, and the reasonable costs of suit;
- (e) other appropriate legal or equitable relief; and
- (f) for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: October 7, 2013

IZARD NOBEL LLP MARK P. KINDALL JEFFREY S. NOBEL NICOLE A. VENO

By:

Mark P. Kindall (Bar No. Ct13797)

29 South Main Street, Suite 305 West Hartford, CT 06107 Telephone: (860) 493-6292 Facsimile: (860) 493-6190 mkindall@izardnobel.com jnobel@izardnobel.com nveno@izardnobel.com

Joseph J. DePalma Katrina Carroll

LITE DEPALMA GREENBERG, LLC

Two Gateway Center, 12th Floor Newark, New Jersey 07102 Telephone: (973) 623-3000 Facsimile: (973) 623-0858 jdepalma@litedepalma.com kcarroll@litedepalma.com

Michael A. Laux **LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL A. LAUX** 8 Myrtle Avenue Westport, CT 06880 Telephone: (203) 226-3392 Facsimile: (203) 222-8023

Counsel for Plaintiff

mlaux@lauxlaw.com

Case 3:13-cv-01470-JBA Document 1-1 Filed 10/07/13 Page 1 of 1 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974 is required for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

<u> </u>	The state of the s					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
Heidi Langan (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Fairfield County, CT (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			Johnson & Johnson-Concumer Companies Joc.			
			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Somerset County, NJ NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)	Attorneys (If Known)			
IZARD NOBEL LLP 29 South Main Street, Suite 305, West Hartford, CT 06107			KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP 1177 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)		PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif,	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)		TF DEF (1 Incorporated or P of Business In		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	★ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	1 2		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	1 3	П 6 П 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	DEDSONAL INHIDY	☐ 625 Drug Related Scizure	BANKRUPTCY 1 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES ☐ 375 False Claims Act	
□ 120 Marine □ 310 Ain □ 130 Miller Act □ 315 Ain □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 320 As □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Siz □ 151 Medicare Act □ 330 Fee	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	ne	of Property 21 USC 881	422 Appeal 28 USC 138 423 Withdrawal	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Injury Product		204011 0040001	☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
(Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	□ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury -	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 785 Property Damage Product Liability	Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 891 Agricultura □ 893 Environmer □ 895 Freedom of Act	■ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act	
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpraetice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General	Income Security Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 535 Death Penalty Employment Other:		IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application			
	☐ 446 Amer, w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 448 Education	□ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions			
		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or	er District Litigation		
VI CAME OF ACTIV	28 U.S.C. 1332	tute under which you are f	iling (Do not cite jurisdictional sta			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Drief describtion of ca	nuse:	statutes arising from false	and misleading cosmet	ics labeling	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$ 5,000,000.01		if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY		JUDGE	1	DOCKET NUMBER	77	
DATE 10/07/2013		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	KNEY OF RECORD	7		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	