FILED

2013 OCT - 7 P 2: 02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

US DISTRICT COURT HARTFORD CT

HEIDI LANGAN, on behalf of herself and all Civil Action Nothers similarly situated,	ction No. [3CV/47/RNC
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------

Plaintiff,

VS.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER COMPANIES, INC.,

Defendant.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by her attorneys, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of her counsel and based on information and belief, except as to allegations pertaining to personal knowledge as to herself. Plaintiff believes that substantial additional evidentiary support exists for the allegations set forth herein and will be available after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a class action against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Johnson & Johnson") concerning Johnson & Johnson's Aveeno[®] Baby Brand natural skin care solution for babies: Aveeno[®] Baby Brand Wash and Shampoo and Aveeno[®] Baby Brand Calming Comfort Bath baby wash (the "Products").

2. This action seeks to remedy the unfair and deceptive business practices arising from the marketing and sale of the Products as "Natural."¹ The Products' principal display panels ("PDPs") represent that the entire formula of the Products consists of a "Natural Oat Formula." This statement is false and misleading to a reasonable consumer. As set forth more fully herein, the Products are not made pursuant to a natural formula because they contain synthetic ingredients.

3. Plaintiff and the Classes and Subclass described below paid a premium for the Products over comparable baby wash products that did not purport to be made pursuant to a formula made entirely from natural ingredients. In direct contradiction to Defendant's representations, they received Products that contained unnatural, synthetic ingredients.

¹ The Merriam-Webster Dictionary defines "natural" as "existing in or produced by nature: not artificial." *See* www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary. The FDA has not defined the term "natural" in the context of cosmetics. To the contrary, on March 7, 2013, the FDA affirmed that "proceedings to define the term 'natural' do not fit within [its] current health and safety priorities." *See* the letter dated March 7, 2013 from the FDA to Plaintiff-Appellant's counsel in *Astiana v. The Hain Celestial Group, Inc.*, Appellate No. 12-cv-17596 (9th Cir.), filed in support of Appellant's Motion for Judicial Notice [ECF No. 8-3] and publicly available on the Ninth Circuit's PACER website.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has original jurisdiction over the claims asserted herein individually and on behalf of the Class pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332, as amended in 2005 by the Class Action Fairness Act. Subject matter jurisdiction is proper because: (1) the amount in controversy in this class action exceeds five million dollars, exclusive of interest and costs; and (2) a substantial number of the members of the proposed classes are citizens of a state different from that of Defendant. Personal jurisdiction is proper as Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privilege of conducting business activities within the State of Connecticut

5. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Heidi Langan is a resident of Trumbull, Connecticut and an individual consumer. Plaintiff Langan purchased approximately four Aveeno[®] Baby Brand Calming Comfort Bath products at Stop and Shop, 40 Quality Street, Trumbull, Connecticut 06611 and/or Toys "R" Us, 330 Old Gate Lane, Milford, Connecticut 06460 in 2012 for her five-year old son. Langan reviewed the product label set forth in Paragraph 10 before her purchase, relied on the representation that the Products were made pursuant to a "Natural Oat Formula" and consisted entirely of natural ingredients, and paid a premium for the Products over comparable baby wash products that do not purport to consist entirely of natural ingredients.

7. Defendant Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, with its headquarters and principal place of business at Grandview Road, Skillman, New Jersey, 08558.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

8. Consumers have become increasingly concerned about the effects of synthetic and chemical ingredients in food, cleaning, bath and beauty and everyday household products.

2

Case 3:13-cv-01471-RNC Document 1 Filed 10/07/13 Page 4 of 19

Companies such as Johnson & Johnson have capitalized on consumer appetite for "natural products." Indeed, consumers are willing to pay, and have paid, a premium for products branded "natural" over ordinary products that contain synthetic ingredients. In 2010, for example, nationwide sales of natural products totaled \$117 billion.²

9. Aveeno[®] is a brand of body care, facial care, hair care, baby care and sun care products manufactured and marketed by Johnson & Johnson and sold in drugstores, grocery stores and discount stores nationwide. Johnson & Johnson manufactures and distributes approximately fourteen baby products under the Aveeno[®] Baby Brand. As part of its Aveeno[®] Baby Brand, Defendant claims to offer a complete natural formula solution to protect a baby's sensitive skin:

Whether you're a new mom seeking a nourishing bath time routine, or an experienced mom looking to relieve symptoms of dry skin or eczema, the AVEENO[®] Baby Brand has a skin care and hair care solution for your baby. Specially formulated with ACTIVE NATURALS[®] ingredients, AVEENO[®] Baby products help nourish, soothe and protect baby's sensitive skin, and the AVEENO[®] Baby Brand is pediatrician recommended.³

 Defendant falsely represents that the Products' formulae consist entirely of natural ingredients. The phrase "Natural Oat Formula" appears prominently on the PDP of each Product:

²http://www.npainfo.org/NPA/About_NPA/NPA/AboutNPA/AbouttheNaturalProductsAssociation.aspx?hkey=8d3a 15ab-f44f-4473-aa6e-ba27ccebcbb8

³ http://www.aveeno.com/category/our+products/baby-skin-care.do

Case 3:13-cv-01471-RNC Document 1 Filed 10/07/13 Page 5 of 19



11. Since oats are obviously natural, the phrase "Natural Oat Formula" constitutes a representation to a reasonable consumer that the *entire formula* is comprised of natural ingredients. The phrase "Natural Oat Formula" is misleading to a reasonable consumer because the Products actually contain numerous unnatural, synthetic ingredients.

12. The Products also contain unnatural, synthetic ingredients that have a high risk of contamination by 1,4 dioxane, a chemical that is "likely to be carcinogenic to humans."⁴

13. Aveeno Calming Comfort Bath's purportedly Natural Formula also contains Quaternium 15, a preservative that works by slowly releasing *formaldehyde*, a known human carcinogen used for embalming and as a disinfectant.

⁴ http://www.epa.gov/IRIS/subst/0326.htm

14. Defendant's false and misleading representations are particularly egregious because the Products are marketed for the care of babies. In particular, babies could easily ingest these harmful synthetic ingredients while being bathed.

THE UNNATURAL INGREDIENTS

15. Directly contrary to Defendant's misrepresentations, the Products contain the following unnatural, synthetic ingredients:

- a. Aveeno Baby Calming Comfort Bath
 - *i.* Cocomidopropyl Betaine a synthetic surfactant⁵ used to boost foaming and control viscosity.⁶
 - *ii.* Coco Glucoside a synthetic surfactant.⁷
 - *iii.* **Di-PPG- 2** Myreth-10 Adipate a synthetic surfactant.⁸
 - iv. Disodium Lauroamphodiacetate a synthetic foam booster.9
 - v. *Glycerol Oleate* a synthetic emulsifying agent made from glycerin and oleic acid.¹⁰
 - *vi. Glycol Distearate* a chemical compound used as an opacifying or skin conditioning agent.¹¹
 - *vii.* Laureth 4 a synthetic polymer made from lauryl alcohol and polyethylene glycol ("PEG"). A byproduct of PEG, 1,4 dioxane is a known carcinogen.¹² Accordingly, contamination by 1,4 dioxane is a hazard in products containing Laureth 4.¹³
 - *viii.* Lauryl Methyl Gluceth 10 Hydroxypropyldimonium Chloride a synthetic antistatic and hair conditioning agent.¹⁴

⁵ A surfactant is a chemical used to stabilize mixtures of oil and water by reducing surface tension to ensure ingredients are evenly distributed throughout the product.

⁶ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/701520/COCAMIDOPROPYL_BETAINE/

⁷ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient.php?ingred06=701535

⁸ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/701913/DI-PPG-2_MYRETH-10_ADIPATE/

⁹ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/702149/DISODIUM_LAUROAMPHODIACETATE/

¹⁰ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/702650/GLYCERYL_OLEATE/

¹¹ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient.php?ingred06=702699

¹² http://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/productandingredientsafety/potentialcontaminants/ucm101566.htm

¹³ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/703422/LAURETH-4/#

¹⁴ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/703454/LAURYL_METHYL_GLUCETH-

¹⁰ HYDROXYPROPYLDIMONIUM_CHLORIDE/

- *ix.* **PEG 14m** a synthetic polymer of ethylene dioxide that has a 1,4 dioxane contamination hazard.¹⁵
- x. PEG 80 Sorbitan Laurate an ethylated sorbitol derivative of lanolin and ethylene dioxide with contamination hazards from carcinogens 1,4 dioxane and ethylene oxide.¹⁶
- *xi.* **PEG 150 Distearate** a polyethylene glycol diester of stearic acid used as a surfactant.¹⁷
- xii. **Polyquaternium** 10 a synthetic polymeric used as a film forming agent.¹⁸
- *xiii.* **Quaternium 15** an ammonium salt used as a preservative that acts as a formaldehyde releaser.¹⁹
- *xiv.* Sodium Hydroxide a synthetic chemical pH adjuster.²⁰
- xv. Tetrasodium EDTA a synthetic chelating agent.²¹
- b. Aveeno Baby Wash & Shampoo
 - i. Cocamidopropyl Betaine see above.
 - *ii.* Iodopropynyl Butylcarbamate a synthetic toxic preservative.²²
 - *iii.* **PEG 150 Distearate** see above.
 - iv. PEG 80 Sorbitan Laurate see above.
 - v. Sodium Laureth Sulfate a synthetic surfactant that has a contamination hazard from carcinogens 1,4 dioxane and ethylene oxide.²³
 - vi. Sodium Lauroampho Pg-Acetate Phosphate a synthetic surfactant.²⁴
 - vii. Tetrasodium EDTA see above.

¹⁵ http:// www.ewg.org /skindeep/ingredient/704517/PEG-14M/

¹⁶ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/704685/PEG-80_SORBITAN_LAURATE/

¹⁷ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/704526/PEG-150_DISTEARATE/

¹⁸ http:// www.ewg.org /skindeep/ingredient/705101/POLYQUATERNIUM-10/

¹⁹ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/705478/QUATERNIUM-15/

²⁰ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/706075/SODIUM_HYDROXIDE/

²¹ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/706510/TETRASODIUM_EDTA/

²² http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/703111/IODOPROPYNYL_BUTYLCARBAMATE/#jumptohere

²³ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/706089/SODIUM_LAURETH_SULFATE/

²⁴ http://www.ewg.org/skindeep/ingredient/706095/SODIUM_LAUROAMPHO_PG-ACETATE_PHOSPHATE/

16. As set forth herein, Plaintiff and the members of the classes described below suffered an ascertainable loss in at least the following amounts, in that they paid a premium for the Products over comparable products²⁵ that are not marketed as consisting of natural ingredients:

Aveeno "Natural" Product:	Price:	Price Per Ounce:
Aveeno Baby Calming Comfort Bath	\$ 8.29/ 18 fl oz ²⁶	\$0.46
Connort Bau		
Comparable products:	Price:	Price Per Ounce:
Johnson's Baby head-to- toe baby wash	\$3.99/15 fl oz ²⁷	\$0.27
Johnson's Baby Wash, Vanilla Oatmeal	\$4.79/15 fl oz ²⁸	\$0.32
Premium paid per ounce:		\$0.14- 0.19
Premium paid per 18 fl oz product:		\$2.52-3.42

Aveeno Baby Calming Comfort Bath

²⁵ The comparable products are available in many of the same stores and are used for the same purpose as the Products. It is also manufactured by Johnson & Johnson and contains many ingredients also found in the Products, such as Cocamidopropyl Betaine, PEG 80 Sorbitan Laurate, and Tetrasodium EDTA. Additionally, like the Products, Johnson's Baby Wash, Vanilla Oatmeal contains oats.

²⁶ http://www.drugstore.com/products/prod.asp?pid=232135&catid=182480&aid=338666&aparam=goobase_filler

²⁷ http://www.drugstore.com/johnsons-baby-head-to-toe-baby-wash-original-formula/qxp14457?catid=183491

²⁸ http://www.drugstore.com/johnsons-baby-wash-vanilla-oatmeal/qxp185781

Aveeno "Natural"	Price:	Price Per Ounce:	
Product: Aveeno Baby Calming Comfort Bath	\$4.79/ 8 fl oz ²⁹	\$0.59	
<i>Comparable products</i> : Johnson's Baby head-to- toe baby wash	<i>Price:</i> \$3.99/15 fl oz ³⁰	Price Per Ounce: \$0.27	
Johnson's Baby Wash, Vanilla Oatmeal	\$4.79/15 fl oz ³¹	\$0.32	
Premium paid per ounce:		\$0.27-0.32	
Premium paid per 8 fl oz product:		\$2.16-2.56	

Aveeno Baby Wash & Shampoo

Aveeno "Natural"	Price:	Price Per Ounce:
<i>Product:</i> Aveeno Baby Wash & Shampoo	\$5.99/ 12 fl oz ³²	\$0.50
Comparable products:	Price:	Price Per Ounce:
Johnson's Baby head-to- toe baby wash	\$3.99/15 fl oz ³³	\$0.27
Johnson's Baby Wash, Vanilla Oatmeal	\$4.79/15 fl oz ³⁴	\$0.32
Premium paid per ounce:		\$0.18-0.23
Premium paid per 12 fl oz product:		\$2.16-2.76

 ²⁹ http://www.drugstore.com/aveeno-baby-calming-comfort-bath/qxp76036?catid=182480
 ³⁰ http://www.drugstore.com/johnsons-baby-head-to-toe-baby-wash-original-formula/qxp14457?catid=183491
 ³¹ http://www.drugstore.com/johnsons-baby-wash-vanilla-oatmeal/qxp185781
 ³² http://www.drugstore.com/aveeno-baby-head-to-toe-baby-wash-original-formula/qxp161536?catid=183492
 ³³ http://www.drugstore.com/johnsons-baby-head-to-toe-baby-wash-original-formula/qxp161536?catid=183491
 ³⁴ http://www.drugstore.com/johnsons-baby-wash-vanilla-oatmeal/qxp185781

Aveeno "Natural"	Price:	Price Per Ounce:	
Product:	0.047		
Aveeno Baby Wash &	\$7.99/ 18 fl oz ³⁵		
Shampoo		\$0.44	
Comparable products:	Price:	Price Per Ounce:	
Johnson's Baby head-to- toe baby wash	\$3.99/15 fl oz ³⁶	\$0.27	
Johnson's Baby Wash, Vanilla Oatmeal	\$4.79/15 fl oz ³⁷	\$0.32	
Premium paid per ounce:		\$0.14-0.17	
Premium paid per 18 ounce product:		\$2.52-3.06	

17. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff and the Classes have been damaged.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

18. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action pursuant to Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 23 on behalf of the following classes and subclass (collectively,

the "Classes") as follows:

- a. All purchasers of the Products in the State of Connecticut who purchased the Products primarily for personal, family or household purposes. Specifically excluded from this Class are Defendant; the officers, directors or employees of Defendant; any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest; any affiliate, legal representative, heir or assign of Defendant; the judge to whom this case is assigned and any member of the judge's immediate family (the "Connecticut Subclass"); and
- b. All purchasers of the Products in the States of Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin and the District of Columbia who purchased the Products primarily for personal, family or household purposes. Specifically excluded from this Class are Defendant; the officers, directors or employees of Defendant; any entity in which

³⁵ http://www.drugstore.com/aveeno-baby-wash-and-shampoo/qxp232136?catid=182486

³⁶ http://www.drugstore.com/johnsons-baby-head-to-toe-baby-wash-original-formula/qxp14457?catid=183491

³⁷ http://www.drugstore.com/johnsons-baby-wash-vanilla-oatmeal/qxp185781

Defendant has a controlling interest; any affiliate, legal representative, heir or assign of Defendant; the judge to whom this case is assigned and any member of the judge's immediate family (the "Count III class"); in the alternative,

c. All purchasers of the Products in the States of Alaska, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Illinois, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Rhode Island, Vermont, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin and the District of Columbia who purchased the Products primarily for personal, family or household purposes. Specifically excluded from this Class are Defendant; the officers, directors or employees of Defendant; any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest; any affiliate, legal representative, heir or assign of Defendant; the judge to whom this case is assigned and any member of the judge's immediate family (the "Count II Class").

19. The members of the Classes and Subclass are so numerous that joinder of all members is impractical, as the products are sold in thousands of stores nationwide, including Walmart, Target, CVS and Walgreens. Upon information and belief, the Classes and Subclass each include thousands of persons who have purchased the Products.

20. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Classes and Subclass because Plaintiff's claims, and the claims of all Class members, arise out of the same conduct, policies and practices of Defendant as alleged herein, and all members of the Classes and Subclass are similarly affected by Defendant's wrongful conduct.

21. There are questions of law and fact common to the Classes and Subclass and these questions predominate over questions affecting only individual Class members. Common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to:

- a. whether Defendant advertises or markets the Products in a way that is unfair, deceptive, false or misleading to a reasonable consumer;
- b. whether, by the misconduct set forth in this Complaint, Defendant has engaged in unfair, deceptive, or unlawful business practices with respect to the Products; and

c. whether, as a result of Defendant's misconduct as alleged herein, Plaintiff, the Classes and the Subclass suffered an ascertainable loss.

22. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent the Classes and the Subclass and has retained counsel experienced and competent in the prosecution of consumer and class action litigation. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to those of other members of the Classes or Subclass. Plaintiff is committed to the vigorous prosecution of this action and anticipates no difficulty in the management of this litigation as a class action.

23. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy within the meaning of Rule 23(b) and in consideration of the matters set forth in Rule 23(b)(3)(A)-(D). Because of the amount of the individual Class members' claims relative to the complexity of the litigation and the financial resources of the Defendant, few, if any, members of the Classes or Subclass would seek legal redress individually for the wrongs complained of here. The maintenance of separate actions would place a substantial and unnecessary burden on the courts and could result in inconsistent adjudications, while a single class action can determine, with judicial economy, the rights of all Class members. Absent a class action, Class members will continue to suffer damages and Defendant's misconduct will proceed without remedy.

COUNT I

(Violation of the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42-110a, et seq. ("CUTPA") Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of the Connecticut Subclass)

24. Plaintiff restates all prior allegations as though fully pled herein.

25. Plaintiff brings this count individually and as a class action pursuant to Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 23 on behalf of herself and the Connecticut Subclass.

26. Plaintiff is a "person" within the meaning of Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42-110a.

Case 3:13-cv-01471-RNC Document 1 Filed 10/07/13 Page 13 of 19

27. Defendant is engaged in "trade" and "commerce" within the meaning of Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 42-110a as it distributes the Products to retail stores for sale to consumers within this State.

28. Defendant's representation was material to a reasonable consumer and likely to affect consumer decisions and conduct.

29. Defendant has used and employed unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of trade or commerce.

30. Defendant's acts and practices offend public policy as established by statute. Defendant's acts and practices violate the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, which provides that a cosmetic shall be deemed misbranded "[i]f its labeling is false or misleading in any particular." 21 U.S.C.A. § 362.

31. Defendant's acts and practices are immoral, unethical, oppressive and unscrupulous.

32. Defendant's conduct is substantially injurious to consumers. Such conduct has, and continues to cause, substantial injury to consumers because consumers would not have paid such a high price for the Products but for Defendant's false promotion that the Products are "Natural." Consumers have thus overpaid for the Products and such injury is not outweighed by any countervailing benefits to consumers or competition.

33. No benefit to consumers or competition results from Defendant's conduct. Since consumers reasonably rely on Defendant's representations of the products and injury results from ordinary use of the Products, consumers could not have reasonably avoided such injury.

34. The foregoing unfair and deceptive practices directly, foreseeably and proximately caused Plaintiff and the Connecticut Subclass to suffer an ascertainable loss when

12

Case 3:13-cv-01471-RNC Document 1 Filed 10/07/13 Page 14 of 19

they paid a premium for the Products over comparable products that are not marketed as consisting of natural ingredients.

35. Plaintiff and the Connecticut Subclass are entitled to recover damages and other appropriate relief, as alleged below.

<u>COUNT II</u> (Violations of State Consumer Protection Laws) (Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of the Count II Class)

36. Plaintiff restates the allegations in foregoing paragraphs as though fully pled herein.

37. Plaintiff asserts this cause of action on behalf of the Count II Class under the state laws listed in Paragraph 38 below.

38. The practices discussed above all constitute unfair competition or unfair, unconscionable, deceptive, or unlawful acts or business practices in violation of the following state consumer protection statutes:³⁸

- a. Alaska Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Act, Alaska Stat. § 45.50.471, et seq.;
- b. Arkansas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 4-88-101, et seq.;
- c. California Consumer Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq., California Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.;
- d. Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42-110a, et seq.;
- e. Delaware Consumer Fraud Act, Del. Code Ann. tit. 6, § 2511, et seq.;
- f. District of Columbia Consumer Protection Procedures Act, D.C. Code § 28-3901, et seq.;
- g. Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Fla. Stat. § 501.201, et seq.;
- h. Hawaii Unfair and Deceptive Practices Act, Hawaii Rev. Stat. § 480-1, et seq.;

³⁸ There is no material conflict between these state statutes and CUTPA because these state statutes (1) do not require reliance by unnamed class members; (2) do not require scienter; and (3) allow class actions.

- i. Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act, 815 Ill. Comp. Stat. § 505/1, et seq.;
- j. Kentucky Consumer Protection Act, Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 367.110 et seq.;
- k. Maine Unfair Trade Practices Act, Me. Rev. Stat., tit. 5, § 205-A, et seq.;
- Maryland Consumer Protection Act, Md. Code Ann., Com. Law § 13-101, et seq.;
- m. Massachusetts Regulation of Business Practices for Consumers' Protection Act, Mass. Gen. Laws Ann. ch. 93A, § 1 et seq.;
- n. Michigan Consumer Protection Act, Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.901 et seq.;
- o. Missouri Merchandising Practices Act, Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.010, et seq.;
- p. Nebraska Consumer Protection Act, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 59-1601 et seq.;
- q. New Hampshire Consumer Protection Act, N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 358-A:1. et seq.;
- r. New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-1, et seq.;
- s. New York Deceptive Acts and Practices Act, N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349, et seq.;
- t. North Carolina Unfair Trade Practice Act, N.C. Gen. Stat. § 75-1.1, et seq.;
- u. Ohio Consumer Sales Practice Act, Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 1345.01, et seq.;
- v. Rhode Island Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Act, R.I. Gen. Laws § 6-13.1-1, et seq.;
- w. Vermont Consumer Fraud Act, Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 9, § 2451, et seq.;
- x. Washington Consumer Protection Act, Wash. Rev. Code § 19.86.010, et seq.;
- y. West Virginia Consumer Credit and Protection Act, W. Va. Code Ann. § 46A-6-101, et seq.; and
- z. Wisconsin Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Wis. Stat. § 100.18, et seq.

Case 3:13-cv-01471-RNC Document 1 Filed 10/07/13 Page 16 of 19

39. The foregoing unfair and deceptive practices directly, foreseeably and proximately caused Plaintiff and the Count II Class to suffer an ascertainable loss when they paid a premium for the Products over comparable products that are not marketed as consisting of natural ingredients.

40. Plaintiff and the Count II Class are entitled to recover damages and other appropriate relief, as alleged below.

<u>COUNT III</u> (Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws) (Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of the Count III Class)

41. Plaintiff restates the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs as though fully pled herein.

42. Plaintiff asserts this cause of action on behalf of the Count III Class under the state laws listed in Paragraph 43 below.

43. The practices discussed above all constitute unfair competition or unfair, unconscionable, deceptive, or unlawful acts or business practices in violation of the following state consumer protection statutes:³⁹

- a. Alabama Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Ala. Code § 8-19-1, et seq.;
- b. Alaska Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Act, Alaska Stat. § 45.50.471, et seq.;
- c. Arkansas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 4-88-101, et seq.;
- d. California Consumer Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq., California Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.;
- e. Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 42-110a, et seq.;

³⁹ These state statutes do not materially conflict with CUTPA. The statutes include those statutes listed in the Second Cause of Action as well as additional states whose statutes, like CUTPA, require neither reliance by unnamed class members nor scienter, but do not permit class actions. Under *Shady Grove Orthopedic Assoc's v. Allstate Ins. Co.* 130 S.Ct. 1431 (2010), class actions may be brought under these state statutes in federal court under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 23.

- f. Delaware Consumer Fraud Act, Del. Code Ann. tit. 6, §2511, et seq.;
- g. District of Columbia Consumer Protection Procedures Act, D.C. Code § 28-3901, et seq.;
- h. Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Fla. Stat. § 501.201, et seq.;
- i. Hawaii Unfair and Deceptive Practices Act, Hawaii Rev. Stat. § 480-1, et seq.;
- j. Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act, 815 Ill. Comp. Stat. § 505/1, et seq.;
- k. Kentucky Consumer Protection Act, Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 367.110, et seq.;
- 1. Louisiana Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law, La. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 51:1401, et seq.;
- m. Maine Unfair Trade Practices Act, Me. Rev. Stat. tit. 5, § 205-A, et seq.;
- n. Maryland Consumer Protection Act, Md. Code Ann., Com. Law § 13-101, et seq.;
- o. Massachusetts Regulation of Business Practices for Consumers' Protection Act, Mass. Gen. Laws Ann. ch. 93A, § 1 et seq.;
- p. Michigan Consumer Protection Act, Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.901, et seq.;
- q. Missouri Merchandising Practices Act, Mo. Rev. Stat. § 407.010, et seq.;
- r. Montana Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Act, Mont. Code Ann. § 30-14-101, et seq.;
- s. Nebraska Consumer Protection Act, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 59-1601, et seq.;
- t. New Hampshire Consumer Protection Act, N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 358-A:1, et seq.;
- u. New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, N.J. Stat. Ann. § 56:8-1, et seq.;
- v. New York Deceptive Acts and Practices Act, N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349, et seq.;
- w. North Carolina Unfair Trade Practice Act, N.C. Gen. Stat. § 75-1.1, et seq.;
- x. Ohio Consumer Sales Practice Act, Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 1345.01, et seq.;

- y. Rhode Island Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Act, R.I. Gen. Laws § 6-13.1-1, et seq.;
- z. South Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act, S.C. Code Ann. § 39-5-10, et seq.;
- aa. Tennessee Consumer Protection Act of 1977, Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-18-101, et seq.;
- bb. Vermont Consumer Fraud Act, Vt. Stat. Ann. tit. 9, § 2451, et seq.;
- cc. Washington Consumer Protection Act, Wash. Rev. Code § 19.86.010, et seq.;
- dd. West Virginia Consumer Credit and Protection Act, W. Va. Code Ann. § 46A-6-101, et seq.; and

ee. Wisconsin Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Wis. Stat. § 100.18, et seq.

44. The foregoing unfair and deceptive practices directly, foreseeably and proximately caused Plaintiff and the Count III Class to suffer an ascertainable loss when they paid a premium for the Products over comparable products that are not marketed as consisting of natural ingredients.

45. Plaintiff and the Count III Class are entitled to recover damages and other appropriate relief, as alleged below.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, prays for judgment against Defendant Johnson & Johnson as follows:

- (a) For an Order certifying the Classes and Subclass described herein and appointing
 Plaintiff as Class Representative and their attorneys as Class Counsel;
- (c) for compensatory damages in favor of Plaintiff and the other members of the Classes and Subclass and against Defendant;
- (d) for punitive damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, filing fees, and the reasonable costs of suit;

- (e) other appropriate legal or equitable relief; and
- (f) for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: October 7, 2013

IZARD NOBEL LLP MARK P. KINDALL **JEFFREY S. NOBEL NICOLE A. VENO** By: Mark P. Kindall (Bar No. Ct13797)

29 South Main Street, Suite 305 West Hartford, CT 06107 Telephone: (860) 493-6292 Facsimile: (860) 493-6190 mkindall@izardnobel.com jnobel@izardnobel.com nveno@izardnobel.com

Joseph J. DePalma Katrina Carroll **LITE DEPALMA GREENBERG, LLC** Two Gateway Center, 12th Floor Newark, New Jersey 07102 Telephone: (973) 623-3000 Facsimile: (973) 623-0858 jdepalma@litedepalma.com kcarroll@litedepalma.com

Michael A. Laux LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL A. LAUX 8 Myrtle Avenue Westport, CT 06880 Telephone: (203) 226-3392 Facsimile: (203) 222-8023 mlaux@lauxlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

Case 3:13-cv-01471-RNC Document 1-1 Filed 10/07/13 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

purpose of initiating the civil d	 This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF 	F THIS FORM.)	FILED	the Clerk of Court for the		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANT		0.2		
Heidi Langan		Johnson & Johns	on Consumer Companies	, Vh6:		
(b) County of Residence o (E	f First Listed Plaintiff Fairfield County, CT XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Somerset County, NJ (IN NAS PLONTIFF CASES ONL)) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known	n)			
IZARD NOBEL LLP 29 South Main Street, St	uite 305, West Hartford, CT 06107		NAFTALIS & FRANKEL L he Americas, New York, N			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only,		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij and One Box for Defendant)		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		PTF DEF 24 1 D I Incorporated or Pr of Business In 7	PTF DEF		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	X 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	□ 2 □ 2 Incorporated and i of Business In .			
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	□ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	П 6 П 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Forcelosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	TORTS: PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 315 Airplane Product 967 Health Care/ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 967 Health Care/ 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability 1340 Marine 1njury Product Liability 1340 Marine 1njury Product Liability 1340 Marine 1njury Product Liability 1355 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud 350 Motor Vehicle 371 Truth in Lending Product Liability 385 Other Personal 1360 Other Personal Property Damage Injury 385 Property Damage 1360 Other Personal Property Damage 1360 Other Crivil Rights Habeas Corpus: 440 Other Crivil Rights 463 Alien Detaince 443 Housing/ 530 General 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 530 Caneral 448 Education 555 Prison Condition 448 Education 555 Prison Condition 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 540 Kandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights	of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other TY 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Applicatio	 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Pateint 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ft) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	moved from te Court Image: 3 and 3 bit and 2 bit a	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictional si n statutes arising from fals	her District Litigation fy) tatutes unless diversity): e and misleading cosmeti	cs labeling.		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	DRNEY OF LOCORD	DOCKET NUMBER			
10/07/2013 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	Allenke 1	Sudall				
RECEIPT # AN	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE		